Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/613,418	HOULE, SABINA J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory D. Thompson	2835
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Gregory D. Thompson</u> .	(3)	
(2) Shelley Cobos.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 September 2006	Time:	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(b) with Patel and Toy.)		
Claims discussed: 8-11,18-19 and 24		
Prior art documents discussed: Patel and Toy		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Claims 8 and 18 amended in filing on 9/25/06 to overcome Patel	and Toy.	
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirection. 	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
		•
•		
		•
	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		